Public Utilities Commission Agenda

Thursday, June 27, 2013

9:30 AM start time

Utilities represented: Energy Facilities, Electricity, Telecom

To view all documents related to the following Agenda items, visit eDockets

DELIBERATION ITEMS

No Items

DECISION ITEMS

*1 E002/TL-09-1448 Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy

In the Matter of Xcel Energy's Application for a Route Permit for the CapX 2020 Hampton - Rochester - La Crosse High Voltage Transmission Line.

Should the Commission approve the Minor Alteration Request? (PUC: <u>Briefing Papers</u> - Kaluzniak)

**2 E015/M-11-409 Minnesota Power

In the Matter of Minnesota Power's Petition for Approval of a Pilot Rider for Customer Affordability of Residential Electricity.

- 1. What action, if any, should the Commission take on MP's first annual report?
- 2. Should the Commission approve MP's request to recover actual program administrative costs through the CARE program tracker mechanism starting with the period October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2013?
- 3. Should the Commission approve certain program design changes recommended by the Department and the Energy CENTS Coalition? (PUC: <u>Briefing Papers</u> **Rebholz**, **Harding**)

**3 G007,011/D-12-533 Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC)

In the Matter of a Request by Minnesota Energy Resources (MERC) for Approval of its Five-year Depreciation Study.

Should the Commission approve MERC's five year depreciation study for transmission, distribution and general plant assets and proposed changes to depreciation rates and methods? (PUC: Briefing Papers - Schwieger, Dasinger, Bender)

**4 P999/M-12-1315 Local Exchange Companies; Eligible Telecommunications Carriers

In the Matter of the 2012 Lifeline Re-certification Results.

- 1. What action should the Commission take with respect to the 2012 Lifeline Recertification reports filed by Minnesota ETCs?
- 2. What modifications, if any, should the Commission make to the state Lifeline recertification procedures? (PUC: Briefing Papers / Attachment 1 / Attachment 2 / Attachment 3 Brion)
- * One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.
- ** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)